

Australian Health Practitioner Regulation Agency GPO Box 9958 Melbourne VIC 3001 standard.consultation@ahpra.gov.au

30<sup>th</sup> October 2013

To whom it may concern,

I a m w riting on behal f o f D EXCL with regards to t he pu blic consultation on t he *Criminal H istory Registration Standard* and *English L anguage Skills Registration Standard*. Our organisation has expertise and practical experiences in dentistry.

## Criminal History Registration Standard

DEXCL recommends the Boards continue to retain Option 1 – Status quo, with the following comments:

- The current standard is comprehensive, plain language, easily interpretable and implementable by health practitioners.
- To date, t here h ave bee n no unintended consequences arising f rom t he existing standard in Victoria.
- The content of the registration standard is clear, coherent and consistent with previous standards prior to National Law.
- The registration standard does not need to be amended.
- DEXCL r ecognises that t he r egistration standard sh ould i nclude other activities that m ay i nvolve community i nteractions that are not specifically related to health practice. For example, health promotion, health research etc. We recommend the Boards to consider a definition of 'health practice'.

## English Language Skills Registration Standard

DEXCL r ecommends the B oards consider implementing the proposed O ption 2 - Proposed revised standard, with the following comments:

• The current registration standard may not be consistent with the Department of Immigration and Border Protection. International graduates with a Bachelor or D octoral deg ree f rom an A ustralian approved uni versity I eading t o registration as a d ental pr actitioner un der N ational Law hav e bee n disadvantaged i n the past by not b eing ex empt from a dditional E nglish language competency testing. We are unaware if these issues remain since the commencement of National Law.

- We are concerned any exemption as a r esult of C itizenship from countries where t he na tive I anguage is English listed in t he r evised st andard is considered to be discriminatory. It is also recognised that the public expects that all heal th practitioners be competent in excellent communication skills, particularly regarding discussions of informed consent. DEXCL recommends exemptions should be considered where health practitioners provide evidence of recent health practice of at least two (2) years in a country where the native language is English prior t or egistration. W e cannot provide comment on South Africa as an exemption country to be phased out over time.
- We cannot comment on any additional evidence to support other countries being recognised for an exemption from English language competency testing due to our lack of expertise in this area.
- The National Boards should consider test results close to and slightly below the current standard for evidence of English language competency with due consideration between the length of time between tests and the consistency of the scores achieved.
- The National Board should consider test results from more than one test to support the applicants' English language competency.
- The draft revised registration standard is clear, comprehensive and is more flexible than the current standard.
- DEXCL has no o ther f urther comments regarding t he pr oposed r evised standard.

We appreciate the Boards intention to improve both standards by invitation for public consultations.

Yours sincerely,



Mr Tan Nguyen Oral Health Therapist BOralHlth (Mel) DEXCL Executive Director